

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/626,242	ZOLLO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	2841

All Participants:

(1) Ishwar (I. B.) Patel.

Status of Application: Being allowed

(3) _____.

(2) Larry G. Brown (Reg. 45,834).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 June 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-15-16, 18-20, 22 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

IB Patel
KAMAND CUNEO

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER
TELE CENTER 2800

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called applicant's representative to amend claim 20, to avoid possible claim objection. Also asked to amend method claim 1 by adding the limitations of claims 9-12, to incorporate all the limitations of allowable product claim 15, to rejoin the method claims and to place the application in allowable condition. Applicant's representative gave permission to amend the claims by examiner's amendment. (As the method claims 1-14 were cancelled, after amendment they were given new numbers and rejoined).